

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

OS REGISTRY
FILE *See 18*

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Status of APEX

C/PSD/OS

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

5 MAR 1981

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. C/PPG
4E70 Hqs.

11 MAR 1981

18 MAR 1981

*PPG**The DDCT seems to have presented the field at this point - see his annotated "Salvo" line #1"*
QSB

2.

3. *Registry*

18 MAR 1981

MR

4.

5.

6.

7.

7.

7.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

5 MAR 1981

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Policy and Plans Group

FROM:

[REDACTED]
Chief, Physical Security Division,
Office of Security

SUBJECT: Status of APEX [REDACTED]

The following is submitted in response to your request for comments on the 21 issue statements prepared by the APEX Steering Group on 24 February 1981. The Physical Security Division has comments on only 2 of the 21 issues. [REDACTED]

a. In regard to issue #1, Standard Uniform Physical Security Rules, we feel the necessity to point out that the requirement for an annual inspection of Sensitive Compartmented Information Facilities is totally unrealistic. We do not currently have nor is there any reasonable prospective that we will receive the assets to conduct an annual inspection program. [REDACTED]

b. In regard to issue #11, Review Present Procedures for SCI Document Control and Accountability, we agree that a review of the procedures proposed under APEX is a good idea. To attempt to apply hard copy document control standards to electrically transmitted material is probably impossible. On the other hand, to place no controls on electrically transmitted material seems illogical when you consider that the majority of SCI is transmitted electronically. A review of document control procedures would hopefully produce some sort of balanced control system which would be attainable with a reasonable amount of assets. [REDACTED]